#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2013 Second Round September 25, 2013

Project Number CA-13-143

**Project Name** 1701 Martin Luther King Jr. Way

Site Address: 1701 Martin Luther King Jr. Way

Oakland, CA 94612 County: Alameda

Census Tract: 4027.000

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total

Requested: \$962,405 \$0 Recommended: \$962,405 \$0

**Applicant Information** 

Applicant: Resources for Community Development

Contact: Jessica Sheldon
Address: 2220 Oxford Street

Berkeley, CA 94704

Phone: 510-841-4410 Fax: 510-548-3502

Email: jsheldon@rcdev.org

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): RCD Housing LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company(ies): RCD Housing LLC

Developer: Resources for Community Development

Investor/Consultant: Community Economics
Management Agent(s): John Stewart Company

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 26

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 25 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Voucher (25 units - 100%)

HOME / HOPWA

Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: 38.80%

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 14 55 % 50% AMI: 11 40 %

# **Information**

Set-Aside: Nonprofit Homeless Assistance

Housing Type: Special Needs

Type of Special Needs: Homesless/formerly homeless and persons with HIV/AIDS

% of Special Need Units: 25 units 100% Geographic Area: North & East Bay Region

TCAC Project Analyst: Connie Harina

# **Unit Mix**

5 SRO/Studio Units 20 1-Bedroom Units 1 2-Bedroom Units

26 Total Units

Unit	Type & Number	2013 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2013 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
1	SRO/Studio	30%	17%	\$270
1	SRO/Studio	30%	30%	\$468
3	SRO/Studio	50%	50%	\$781
6	1 Bedroom	30%	16%	\$275
6	1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$502
8	1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$836
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Financing Residential

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$12,549,739 Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$314 Estimated Residential Project Cost: \$12,549,739 Per Unit Cost: \$482,682

# **Construction Financing**

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Silicon Valley Bank	\$6,800,000	City of Oakland HOME	\$1,960,000
City of Oakland HOME	\$1,960,000	Alameda County HOPWA	\$1,200,000
Alameda County HOPWA	\$1,200,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$53,671
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,000,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$9,336,068
		TOTAL	\$12,549,739

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$8,225,682
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Qualified Basis:	\$10,693,387
Applicable Rate:	9.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$962,405
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$1,200,000
Investor/Consultant: Comm	nunity Economics
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.97008

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

# **Eligible Basis and Basis Limit**

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$8,225,682 Actual Eligible Basis: \$10,688,357 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$5,641,030 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$8,225,683

# **Adjustments to Basis Limit:**

Required to Pay Prevailing Wages

Parking Beneath Residential Units

100% of Units for Special Needs Population

One or More Energy Efficiency/Resource Conservation/Indoor Air Quality Features:

• Install bamboo, stained concrete, cork, salvaged or FSC-Certified wood, ceramic tile, or natural linoleum in all common areas

Local Development Impact Fees

95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

# **Tie-Breaker Information**

First: Special Needs Second: 42.960%

# **Cost Analysis and Line Item Review**

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.00% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.20%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

# Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None

**Legal Status:** Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

# **Local Reviewing Agency:**

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Oakland, has completed a site review of this project and stongly supports this project.

**Recommendation:** Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$962.405 State Tax Credits/Total \$0

# **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

**Additional Conditions:** None

Points System	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
1 omts System	Points	Points	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of transit stop, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density	7	7	7
Within ¼ mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within ¼ mile of public library	3	3	0
Special Needs/SRO project within ½ mile of facility serving tenant pop.	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of a pharmacy	1	1	1
Service Amenities	10	10	10
SPECIAL NEEDS AND SRO HOUSING TYPES			
Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 160 bedrooms	3	3	3
Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms	5	5	0
Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 600 bdrms	3	0	3
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: GreenPoint Rated	5	5	5
Develop project to requirements of: GreenPoint Rated Multifamily 125	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	15	10
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	148	143	138

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.